28th March 1969]

MR. CHAIRMAN : There are no recommendations to clauses 2 and 1, the Schedule, the Long Title and the Enacting Formula. The Bill will be returned to the Assembly without any recommendations. 6-05 p.m.

(2) THE TAMIL NADU APPROPRIATION (NO. 4) BILL, 1969 (L.A. BILL NO. 10 OF 1969).

THE HON. THIRU K. A. MATHIALAGAN : Mr. Chirman, Sir, I move—

' That the Tamil Nadu Appropriation (No. 4) Bill@ 1969 (L.A. Bill No. 10 of 1969), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration for making recommendations '.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

' That the Tamil Nadu Appropriation (No. 4) Bill 1969 (L.A. Bill No. 10 of 1969), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration for making recommendations '.

The motion was put and caried and the Bill was taken into consideration for making recommendations.

MR. CHAIRMAN : There are no recommendations to clauses 2 and 1, the Schedule, the Long Title and the Enacting Formula. The Bill will be returned to the Assembly without any recommendations.

(3) THE TAMIL NADU AGRICULTURAL LANDS RECORD OF TENANCY RIGHTS BILL, 1969 (L.A. BILL NO. 18 OF 1968) AS PASSED BY THE ASSEMBLY WITH THE AMENDMENTS MADE BY THE COUNCIL AND NOT AGREED TO BY THE ASSEMBLY.

THE HON. THIRU K. A. MATHIALAGAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, the Tamil Nadu Agricultural Lands Record of Tenancy Rights Bill, 1969 (L.A. Bill No. 18 of 1968), as passed by the Legislative Assembly was taken up by this House on 3rd March 1969 for consideration. A non-official amendment, given notice of by the hon. Member Thiru A. R. Damodaran, M.L.C., to clause 3 was carried and the Bill was sent back to the legislative Assembly with the Amendment.

The amendment made to the Bill by the Council was taken up for consideration by the Legislative Assembly on the 22nd March 1969 and the Assembly has rejected that amendment. The Bill as passed by the Assembly for the second time is now before this House.

Sir, I would like to reiterate that the scope of the present Bill is to prepare a simple record containing particulars of lands in the possession of tenants, etc., and the amendment made by this House on the 3rd March 1969 appears to me to be outside the scope of the Bill. I would also like to point out to the House that the present Bill contemplating the preparation of record of tenancy rights does not create any new right as such, but only seeks to protect

@ Printed as Appendix II on pages 216-218